# Modeling & Simulation (Computational Immunology)

# **Steven H. Kleinstein**

YALE Pathology Informatics

Departments of Pathology and Immunobiology Yale School of Medicine

steven.kleinstein@yale.edu

April 17, 2019

# Bootstrap Methods

Randomly draw datasets with replacement from training data

- $D = [3.0, 2.8, 3.7, 3.4, 3.5] \rightarrow average = 3.28$
- Bootstrap samples D<sub>N</sub> could be:
  - $\ [2.8, 3.4, 3.7, 3.4, 3.5] \rightarrow 3.36$
  - $[3.5, 3.0, 3.4, 2.8, 3.7] \rightarrow 3.28$
  - $\ [3.5, 3.5, 3.4, 3.0, 2.8] \rightarrow 3.24$

8 -7 -6 -5 -4 -3 -2 -1 -1 -3 .28

If sample is good approximation of population, bootstrap method will provide good approximation of sampling distribution of original statistic.

## **Bootstrapping Parameter Confidence Intervals**

- 1) Fit model to data to obtain parameter estimates
- 2) Draw a bootstrap sample of the residuals (Fixed-X Bootstrapping)
- 3) Create bootstrap sample of observations by adding randomly sampled residual to predicted value of each observation



Bootstrapping observations also possible – asymptotically equivalent

# Bootstrapping Parameter Confidence Intervals

Three commonly used methods: 1. Normal Theory Intervals, 2. Percentile Intervals, 3. Bias Corrected Percentile Intervals

### **Percentile Intervals**

# Calculate the parameter for each bootstrap sample and select $\alpha$ (e.g., 0.05)

LCL =  $\alpha / 2^{\text{th}}$  percentile.

UCL =  $(1-\alpha/2)^{\text{th}}$  percentile.

Use MATLAB's prctile function: = prctile(bootstrap estimates, 0.025)

Parameter estimates for synthetic data Estimate of s = 0.0017 [0.0009, 0.0030]Estimate of p = 0.0099 [0.0095, 0.0100]



May not have correct coverage when sampling distribution skewed

### Practical reference for these kinds of methods

Numerical Recipes:

Includes source code for integration, optimization, etc.

# NUMERICAL RECIPES

Scientific Computing

Third Edition



TEACHING RESOURCE

COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

# Biomedical Model Fitting and Error Analysis

Kevin D. Costa,<sup>1,\*</sup> Steven H. Kleinstein,<sup>2,3</sup> Uri Hershberg<sup>4</sup>

www.SCIENCESIGNALING.org 27 September 2011 Vol 4 Issue 192

Free NR versions online at http://www.nr.com/oldverswitcher.html

### Hepatitis C Viral Dynamics and Interferon- $\alpha$ Therapy

### Modeling 23 patients during 14 days of therapy (daily doses)

### Hepatitis C Viral Dynamics in Vivo and the Antiviral Efficacy of Interferon-α Therapy

Avidan U. Neumann,\*† Nancy P. Lam,\*‡ Harel Dahari, David R. Gretch, Thelma E. Wiley, Thomas J. Layden, Alan S. Perelson

SCIENCE VOL 282 2 OCTOBER 1998

Viral loads exhibit short delay followed by biphasic decline in viral load



How does interferon therapy work?

### Model of Hepatitis C Viral Dynamics



Before therapy, virus load is approximately constant

# Model of Interferon- $\alpha$ Therapy





Target Cells
$$dT/dt = s - dT - \beta VT$$
 (1)Infected Cells $dI/dt = \beta VT - \delta I$  (2)Virus (HCV RNA) $dV/dt = pI - cV$  (3)

Therapy can reduce the rate of infection, or production of virions

### Hepatitis C Viral Dynamics and Interferon- $\alpha$ Therapy

### Modeling 23 patients during 14 days of therapy (daily doses)

Regimen	Patient	Initial VL (10 <sup>6</sup> copies per milliliter)	Delay (hours)	Virion clearance (c)		Efficacy $(\varepsilon)$		Infected cell death (δ)		Production (10 <sup>9</sup> copies
				(1/day)	± error	Percent	± error	(1/day)	± error	per day)
1	А	5.6	8	5.9	1.1	79	4.0%	0	0.01	495
1	В	1.9	8	6.4	1.8	75	7.0%	0.12	0.02	290
1	с	14.2	NR	NR		NR		NR		NR
1	D	7.1	NR	NR		NR		NR		NR
1	E	1.1	11	7.0	0.6	86	0.1%	0.32	0.04	125
1	F	6.5	7	5.0	0.8	89	8.0%	0	0.01	601
1	G	3.3	NR	NR NR		NR		NR		
1	н	4.1	10	6.9	0.2	75	1.0%	0	0.01	498
1: Mean	±SD	$5.5 \pm 4.1$	9 ± 1.5	6.2 ± 0.8 81 ± 8%		0.09 ± 0.14		402 ± 191		
2	А	6.1	7	3.6	0.2	86	0.5%	0.12	0.01	410
2	В	16.7	9	6.0	0.3	98	0.4%	F	B	1409
2	С	8.6	8	6.8	0.8	96	1.0%	0.11	0.03	1089
2	D	1.0	7	5.6	0.5	95	1.0%	0.16	0.04	92
2	E	59.0	10	11.2	0.6	99.7	0.01%	0.07	0.02	12191
2	F	10.9	7	4.4	0.1	96	0.9%	0.04	0.01	965
2	G	23.8	7	4.8	0.1	92	0.8%	RB		1780
2	н	2.7	9	7.9	1.0	99.3	0.2%	N	1D	324
2: Mean	±SD	$16.1 \pm 18.9$	8 ± 1	6.3 ± 2.4		95 ± 4%		$0.1 \pm 0.05$		$2282 \pm 4045$
3	A	6.7	8	3.7	0.3	99.7	0.4%	0.12	0.04	405
3	В	4.1	11	9.5	3.7	91	2.0%	0.11	0.03	761
3	С	5.8	13	5.7	0.7	98	0.5%	ND		523
3	D	0.4	5	6.0	0.8	99.0	0.2%	0.4	0.05	42
3	E	18.3	7	6.0	0.9	97.5	1.6%	F	RΒ	2136
3	F	1.1	14	5.8	0.6	90	0.3%	0.33	0.03	112
3	G	6.0	NR	NR		NR		NR		NR
3: Mean	±SD	$6.0 \pm 5.9$	$9.5 \pm 3.5$	6.1 ± 1.9		96 ± 4%		0.24 ± 0.15		$663 \pm 769$
All: Mean	±SD	9.4 ± 12.4	$8.7 \pm 2.3$	6.2 ± 1.8		-		$0.14 \pm 0.13$		$1276\pm498$

Average virion production rate of  $1.3 \times 10^{12}$  virions per day

### Hepatitis C Viral Dynamics and Interferon- $\alpha$ Therapy

Modeling 23 patients during 14 days of therapy (daily doses)



Suggests immune control has important role in lowering viral load

Patients with undetectable HCV after 3 months of therapy (filled symbols) had significantly faster cell death rates

### Major impact on understanding HIV/AIDS

HIV-I protease inhibitor given to twenty infected patients in order to perturb the balance between virus production and clearance.

#### ARTICLES

### Rapid turnover of plasma virions and CD4 lymphocytes in HIV-1 infection

David D. Ho, Avidan U. Neumann<sup>\*†</sup>, Alan S. Perelson<sup>†</sup>, Wen Chen, John M. Leonard<sup>‡</sup> & Martin Markowitz

Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, NYU School of Medicine, 455 First Avenue, New York, New York 10016, USA \* Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501, USA \* Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

Pharmaceutical Products Division, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, Illinois 60064, USA

Treatment of infected patients with ABT-538, an inhibitor of the protease of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1), causes plasma HIV-1 levels to decrease exponentially (mean half-life,  $2.1 \pm 0.4$  days) and CD4 lymphocyte counts to rise substantially. Minimum estimates of HIV-1 production and clearance and of CD4 lymphocyte turnover indicate that replication of HIV-1 *in vivo* is continuous and highly productive, driving the rapid turnover of CD4 lymphocytes.

NATURE · VOL 373 · 12 JANUARY 1995



#### Discussion

We believe our new kinetic data have important implications for HIV-1 therapy and pathogenesis. It is self evident that, with rapid turnover of HIV-1, generation of viral diversity and the attendant increased opportunities for viral escape from therapeutic agents are unavoidable sequelae<sup>19,20</sup>. Treatment strategies, if they are to have a dramatic clinical impact, must therefore be initiated as early in the infection course as possible, perhaps even during seroconversion. The rapid turnover of HIV-1 in plasma also suggests that current protocols for monitoring the acute antiviral activity of novel compounds must be modified to focus on the first few days following drug initiation. Our interventional

Viral dynamics applied to a wide variety of systems

# The SIR Model of Epidemics

Model for many infectious diseases including measles



Other versions allow recovered individual to be re-infected

# The basic reproductive ratio: $R_0$

average number of secondary cases caused by an infectious individual in a totally susceptible population

$$R_0 = \frac{\beta}{\mu} \times S(0)$$

 $R_0 < 1$ : disease dies out  $R_0 > 1$ : disease can invade





The value of $R_0$ for some well-known diseases						
Disease	R <sub>0</sub>					
AIDS	2 to 5					
Smallpox	3 to 5					
Measles	16 to 18					
Malaria	> 100					

R<sub>0</sub> indicates whether population at risk from disease

# ODEs are deterministic

Predicts epidemic even with non-zero chance that disease dies out



is small, even if  $R_0 > 1$ .

Simulate using stochastic approach – Gillepsie Method

# Simulating Stochastic Models

### How can we generate random number stream(s)?

## A MILLION Random Digits

100,000 Normal Deviates

1955

WITH

RAND



#### ★★★★☆ almost perfect Such a terrific reference work! But with so many terrific random digits, it's a shame they didn't sort them, to make it easier to find the one you're looking for. Published on October 26, 2006 by a curious reader

Now we have algorithms to generate random # streams

# Pseudo-Random Number Generators (PRNGs)

Starting with the same seed will give you equivalent stream

### **Uniform deviates: [0,1)**

Linear congruential generator

 $I_{j+1} = aI_j + c \pmod{m}$ 

 $I_0$  is the seed (common to use system clock)

```
I_{j+1} = 3I_j + 7 \pmod{10}
```

Produces: 6,5,2,3

<u>**Period</u>**: time before stream repeats itself (maximum m)</u>

Fast, but sequential calls can be correlated, so not used much

Better approach Mersenne Twister (period 2<sup>19937</sup>-1)

Be careful on computer clusters (streams can be correlated): Check out the "parallel" package in R

# Simulating from other distributions

**Transformation Method**: indefinite integral of p(y) must be known and invertible



Transformation to generate exponential distribution (Poisson process) Exponential( $\alpha$ ) =  $-\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left[ \text{Uniform}(0,1) \right]$ 

Methods based on underlying ability to generate uniform distributions

# Boolean Network Models

Qualitative approach

Can be useful where kinetic parameters are not sufficiently known

- A directed graph (network)
  - Nodes represent the elements of a system
  - Edges represent regulatory relationships between elements
- Nodes characterized by True/False state
  - Network with N nodes will have  $2^N$  possible states
- As time passes, node state determined by the states of neighbors, through a rule called a **transfer function** 
  - Eg, logical function using the operators NOT, AND, OR
  - Output of transfer function determines state of the node

# Boolean Network Models

### Qualitative approach

### Can be useful where kinetic parameters are not sufficiently known



time is discrete, specifying instances in which the state of the nodes may change

(Thakar and Alberta, 2010)

### Easy to model combinatorial regulatory relationships

## Boolean Interaction Network of Immune Response

Can be useful where kinetic parameters are not sufficiently known



Thakar J., et.al. (2007) PloS CB

Future states of each node decided by transition rules using Boolean operators

# Nodes encompass related functions

Cells with unique functions are incorporated as nodes



Thakar J., et.al. (2007) PloS CB

# **ODEs Neglect Spatial Structure**

Several approaches to including spatial effects

### • Partial Differential Equations (PDEs)

- Allows quantities to vary over both space and time
- Continuous and deterministic

### Compartment Modeling

- Compartments assumed to be well-mixed
- Elements present in each compartment tracked using ODEs.
- ODEs incorporate coupling between compartments
- Agent-Based Modeling (ABM)
  - object-oriented, discrete-event, rule-based, stochastic
  - views system as an aggregation of components (agents) that follow intrinsic rules of behavior (agent-rules)

### "Right" approach depends on question, and available data

## Cellular Automata Models

### A regular grid of cells, each in one of a finite number of states



(John Parkinson)

A new generation is created (advancing t by 1), according to some fixed rule (generally, a mathematical function) that determines the new state of each cell in terms of the current state of the cell and the states of the cells in its neighborhood.

## Agent-based Models (ABMs): IMMSIM

Individual cells given unique properties: receptors and internal state



study immune receptor signal-based cellular behavior with a bit-string representation for receptor specificities

# Detailed spatial pattern formation

Realistic models of cell diffusion and response to chemokines



New intravital imaging techniques provide underlying data

## Range of Current Modeling Frameworks

### Various types of computational models can be built

Modeling approach	Typical applications	Limitations	Tools
Individual particle-	Small subcellular signaling	Applies only to small systems (in terms	MCell (32), Smoldyn (314),
based stochastic	processes, aspects of	of space and chemical complexity)	ChemCell (315), GetBonNie
	bacterial biochemistry		(nonspatial) (49)
Particle number	Signaling processes with	Applies only to small systems (in	MesoRD (35), SmartCell (33),
stochastic	important stochastic aspects	terms of space and chemical	GetBonNie (nonspatial)
	(due to small system size or	complexity), has less detail than	
	high sensitivity)	individual particle simulation	
Concentration-based	Cellular signaling processes	Provides either high spatial resolution	Virtual Cell (37), Simmune (36)
spatial, nonstochastic	with important spatial	or biochemical complexity, has no	
	aspects	stochasticity	
Concentration-based,	Cellular signaling processes	Assumes global biochemical	Copasi (46), E-cell (44),
nonspatial,	without spatial aspects	homogeneity in the simulated system	Cellware (45), Systems
nonstochastic			Biology Workbench (47),
			GetBonNie

#### Table 1 Computational approaches and tools for systems biology

(Germain et al, 2010)

Each method has advantages and limitations – no one right approach.

# Interchange format for computer models

XML encoding: wide variety of models can be described



### The Systems Biology Markup Language



A software package can read in a model expressed in SBML and translate it into its own internal format for model analysis.



Still, most researchers develop models from scratch for every project

# Repository of mathematical models

BioModels (http://www.biomodels.org)



Still, most researchers develop models from scratch for every project

# For more information...

#### OPEN O ACCESS Freely available online

PLOS COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

Message from ISCB

### **Getting Started in Computational Immunology**

#### Steven H. Kleinstein\*

Interdepartmental Program in Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, and Department of Pathology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, United States of America

#### TEACHING RESOURCE

COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY

# Biomedical Model Fitting and Error Analysis

Kevin D. Costa,<sup>1,\*</sup> Steven H. Kleinstein,<sup>2,3</sup> Uri Hershberg<sup>4</sup>

www.SCIENCESIGNALING.org 27 September 2011 Vol 4 Issue 192

Trends in Immunology

**CellPress** 

Review

Solving Immunology?

Yoram Vodovotz,<sup>1</sup> Ashley Xia,<sup>2,17</sup> Elizabeth L. Read,<sup>3</sup> Josep Bassaganya-Riera,<sup>4</sup> David A. Hafler,<sup>5</sup> Eduardo Sontag,<sup>6</sup> Jin Wang,<sup>7,8</sup> John S. Tsang,<sup>9</sup> Judy D. Day,<sup>10</sup> Steven H. Kleinstein,<sup>11,12</sup> Atul J. Butte,<sup>13</sup> Matthew C. Altman,<sup>14</sup> Ross Hammond,<sup>15</sup> and Stuart C. Sealfon<sup>16,\*</sup>

## Feel free to email me with questions: steven.kleinstein@yale.edu